

Instructor Name: Danza, Facundo	Term: Spring 2022	Evaluation Type: Final	Class Description: ECON-UA 266-115 (20209) - Intro to Econometrics (Lecture)
-------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

32 out of 135 students completed the survey.

Survey Response Rate: 23.7%

Course Questions

Score range is 1 - 5

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer %	
Overall evaluation of the course.	4.2	32	100.0%	5 - Excellent	16	50.0%
				4 - Good	10	31.3%
				3 - Adequate	3	9.4%
				2 - Poor	2	6.3%
				1 - Very Poor	1	3.1%
The course objectives were clearly stated.	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	23	71.9%
				4 - Agree	4	12.5%
				3 - Neutral	4	12.5%
				2 - Disagree	1	3.1%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The course was well organized.	4.4	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	19	59.4%
				4 - Agree	9	28.1%
				3 - Neutral	3	9.4%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	1	3.1%
The course was intellectually stimulating.	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	19	59.4%
				4 - Agree	9	28.1%
				3 - Neutral	4	12.5%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The course was effective at helping me learn.	4.2	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	16	50.0%
				4 - Agree	10	31.3%
				3 - Neutral	3	9.4%
				2 - Disagree	2	6.3%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	1	3.1%
The classes were informative.	4.3	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	19	59.4%
				4 - Agree	7	21.9%
				3 - Neutral	3	9.4%

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer	Answer %
				2 - Disagree	2	6.3%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	1	3.1%
The course was challenging.	4.8	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	25	78.1%
				4 - Agree	7	21.9%
				3 - Neutral	0	0.0%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The course increased my knowledge of the subject.	4.4	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	20	62.5%
				4 - Agree	8	25.0%
				3 - Neutral	3	9.4%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	1	3.1%

Instructor Questions

Score range is 1 - 5

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer	Answer %
Overall evaluation of the instructor.	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Excellent	22	68.8%
				4 - Good	6	18.8%
				3 - Adequate	3	9.4%
				2 - Poor	1	3.1%
				1 - Very Poor	0	0.0%
The instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	21	65.6%
				4 - Agree	6	18.8%
				3 - Neutral	5	15.6%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	21	65.6%
				4 - Agree	6	18.8%
				3 - Neutral	5	15.6%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor was effective at helping me learn.	4.4	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	20	62.5%
				4 - Agree	5	15.6%
				3 - Neutral	7	21.9%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation.	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	21	65.6%

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer	Answer %
				4 - Agree	6	18.8%
				3 - Neutral	5	15.6%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor was effective at facilitating class discussion.	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	21	65.6%
				4 - Agree	5	15.6%
				3 - Neutral	6	18.8%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor was open to students' questions and multiple points of view.	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	22	68.8%
				4 - Agree	4	12.5%
				3 - Neutral	6	18.8%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor was accessible to students (e.g., via e-mail and office hours).	4.4	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	20	62.5%
				4 - Agree	5	15.6%
				3 - Neutral	6	18.8%
				2 - Disagree	1	3.1%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor created an environment that promoted the success of students with diverse backgrounds and experiences.	4.5	32	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	21	65.6%
				4 - Agree	5	15.6%
				3 - Neutral	6	18.8%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%

Lab Questions

Score range is 1 - 5

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer	Answer %
Overall, the lab instructor was effective at helping me learn.	4.6	13	40.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	9	69.2%
				4 - Agree	3	23.1%
				3 - Neutral	1	7.7%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The lab was useful for achieving the goals of the course.	4.8	13	40.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	10	76.9%
				4 - Agree	3	23.1%
				3 - Neutral	0	0.0%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer	
				Answer	Answer %
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0.0%
The lab instructor provided helpful feedback on assignments.	4.6	13	40.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	61.5%
				4 - Agree	38.5%
				3 - Neutral	0.0%
				2 - Disagree	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0.0%
The lab instructor was accessible to students (e.g., via e-mail and office hours).	4.8	13	40.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	84.6%
				4 - Agree	7.7%
				3 - Neutral	7.7%
				2 - Disagree	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0.0%
The lab instructor created an environment that promoted the success of students with diverse backgrounds and experiences.	4.8	13	40.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	76.9%
				4 - Agree	23.1%
				3 - Neutral	0.0%
				2 - Disagree	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0.0%

Instructor Comments

Describe the one best thing about the course or the instructor that was effective in helping you learn. If you could suggest one thing to improve the course, what would it be?

- .
- R code is interesting, but our homework does not use too difficult code in R. So it is hard for TA to come up with what to explain in recitation. The instructor is doing a good job in that (finding things to explain).
- The office hour and weekly Hw are really helpful.
- Reviewing context on time
- He explains everything very clearly and he is so kind.
- N/A, didn't work with this particular TA
- Work through the problem!
- Please speak loudly.
- Best thing: he knows what he is teaching
Suggestions: More organized for each chapter. Tell students what they are learning first before teaching them.
- no
- He is willing to give us notes which is good. I suggest doing review every recitation.
- Really caring
- Change nothing
- Amazing recaps of the recitations. Uploaded incredibly helpful emails and was very accessible.
- -

Describe the one best thing about the lab section or the instructor that was effective in helping you learn. If you could suggest one thing to improve the section, what would it be?

- Change nothing
- R code is interesting, but our homework does not use too difficult code in R. So it is hard for TA to

Describe the one best thing about the lab section or the instructor that was effective in helping you learn. If you could suggest one thing to improve the section, what would it be?

come up with what to explain in recitation. The instructor is doing a good job in that (finding things to explain).

- Facundo is an excellent TA who is very patient with his student.
- TA's help in R is awesome. Office hour is also really helpful.
- Practice the questions.
- Lab itself is not very useful since we cannot learn a lot from coding
- 1. He is nice

Suggestion; Improve his oral English. He needs to be more confident and loud when he is teaching,